Economic Value Estimation of Reproductive Traits for a Beef Cattle Herd in Brazil

I.B. Formigoni¹, J.B. Ferraz², J.A.II.V. Silva³ J.P. Eler²

Introduction

The objective of this study was to present a set of profit equations to heifer pregnancy (HP) and stayability (STAY). The costs and revenues relation of these traits with a beef cattle production system were described as means to suggest a model of analysis and also contribute to the establishment of a routine of economic evaluation for such kind of selection criteria in Brazil.

Material and methods

There was evaluated a traditional beef cattle production system in Brazil, which is characterized by low production costs. The production is essentially based on natural mating and animals being raised on pasture regime. The average age at first breeding is around 36 months while the fertility rate is near 60%. These reproductive indexes highlight the importance of the inclusion of reproductive traits as selection criteria.

The values of costs, revenues and also the productive indexes applied to the present simulations were based on the average data obtained from Agropecuária Santa Bárbara Xinguara S/A (ASBX) in 2009. ASBX is a company dedicated to an extensive cow-calf production system in north of Brazil, pursuing a total herd number of 425.927 Nellore animals and 187.158 females in reproduction in 2009.

To analyze the economic aspects of HP it was considered the mating at 14 months of age. All costs and revenues regarding the mating of these heifers were evaluated. The procedures of economic aspects evaluation of HP were adapted from Short et al. (1990), as follow:

$$P_{HP} = (R_{HP} + R_{RE-HP}) - (C_{HP} + C_{RE-HP})$$

where,

 P_{HP} = profit of HP;

 R_{HP} = revenues from heifers pregnant at 14 months of age;

Agropecuária Santa Bárbara Xinguara S/A, 04530-030 São Paulo - SP, Brazil

² FZEA-USP Grupo de Melhoramento Genético Animal, 13630-970 Pirassununga – SP, Brazil

³ FMVZ-UNESP Melhoramento e Nutrição Animal, 18618-000 Botucatu – SP, Brazil

 R_{RE-HP} = revenues from rebreeding of the heifers pregnant at 14 months of age; C_{HP} = costs of heifers reproduction at 14 months of age; C_{RE-HP} = costs of rebreeding of the heifers pregnant at 14 months of age.

The profit equations to economic analysis for HP are presented as:

```
\begin{split} R_{HP} = & (\text{HP x (1 - MRW)}) \text{ x RRD x (0.5 x ((WMCH x SPMC) + (WFCH x SPFC)))} \\ R_{RE-HP} = & (\text{RR x (1 - MRW)}) \text{ x (RRD x HP) x (0.5 x ((WMC x SPMC) + (WFC x SPFC)))} \\ C_{HP} = & (\text{RRD / NDS}) \text{ x SP x DRS + (RRD x DCS x CS x DS) + (CCP x HP x (1-MRW) x RRD)} \\ C_{RE-HP} = & (\text{RRD - (RRD x HP) / NDS) x DRS x SP} \end{split}
```

The parameters applied to economic analysis of HP are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 - Parameters and respective values considered to economic analysis of HP

| Parameter | Description | Value | |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| CCP | annual costs of calve production | US\$ 75.2 per animal | |
| CS | cost of supplement | US\$ 0.4 per kg | |
| DCS | daily consumption of supplement | 0.3 kg per heifer | |
| DRS | discard rate of sires | 25.0% per year | |
| DS | days in supplementation | 120.0 days | |
| MRW | mortality rate until weaning | 4.0% | |
| NDS | number of dams per sire | 30.0 | |
| RR | rebreeding rate | 60.0% | |
| RRD | replacement rate of dams | 20.0% | |
| SP | sire price | US\$ 3.507.0 per sire | |
| SPFC | sale price of female calves | US\$ 1.4 per kg of life weight | |
| SPMC | sale price of male calves | US\$ 1.6 per kg of life weight | |
| WFC | weight of female calves at weaning | 180.0 kg | |
| WFCH | weight of female calves from heifers | 170.0 kg | |
| WMC | weight of male calves at weaning | 190.0 kg | |
| WMCH | weight of male calves from heifers | 180.0 kg | |

The economic value of STAY was estimated by increasing the fertility rate while decreasing the discard rate in the same proportion. The proceedings to evaluate the economic value of STAY were adapted from Charteris et al. (1999) as follow:

$$PPS = PMC + PFC + PDD + PRH$$

where,

PPS = profit of production system;

PMC = profit of male calves;

PFC = profit of female calves;

PDD = profit of discard dams;

PRH = profit of replacement heifers.

The profit equations to STAY are presented below as:

PMC = (0.5 x N x FR x WMC x SPMC) - (0.5 x N x FR x PCC) PFC = (0.5 x N x FR x WFC x SPFC) - (0.5 x N x FR x PCC) PDD = (DR x WD x SPDD) - PCD PRH = N x DR x HPM

The parameters applied to estimate economic value to STAY are shown in Table 2.

Table 2 - Parameters and respective values considered to economic analysis of STAY

| Parameter | Description | Value | |
|-----------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| DR | discard rate of dams | 20.0% | |
| FR | fertility rate | 80.0% | |
| HPM | heifer price at market | US\$250.0, US\$400.0 and US\$500.0 | |
| N | number of dams in reproduction | 1.0 dams | |
| PCD | annual costs of dam production | US\$ 110.2 per dam | |
| PCC | annual costs of calve production | US\$ 75.2 per calve | |
| SPDD | sale price of discard dams | US\$1.0 per kg of life weight | |
| WD | weight of dams | 420.0 kg | |

To the heifer price (HPM) there were applied values as means to represent different regions and genetic level of the animals of ASBX focus.

Results and discussion

The results of costs, revenues and marginal profit associated to HP are in Table 3.

Table 3 - Results of costs, revenues and marginal profit of HP

| HP | R _{HP} (US\$) | R _{RE-HP} (US\$) | C _{HP} (US\$) | C _{RE-HP} (US\$) | P _{HP} (US\$) |
|-------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| 15.0% | 7.6 | 4.8 | 10.7 | 5.0 | -3.3 |
| 16.0% | 8.1 | 5.1 | 10.9 | 4.9 | -2.5 |
| 17.0% | 8.6 | 5.5 | 11.0 | 4.9 | -1.8 |
| 18.0% | 9.1 | 5.8 | 11.1 | 4.8 | -1.1 |
| 19.0% | 9.6 | 6.1 | 11.3 | 4.7 | -0.3 |
| 20.0% | 10.1 | 6.4 | 11.4 | 4.7 | 0.4 |
| 21.0% | 10.6 | 6.7 | 11.6 | 4.6 | 1.2 |
| 22.0% | 11.1 | 7.1 | 11.9 | 4.5 | 1.9 |
| 23.0% | 11.6 | 7.4 | 11.9 | 4.5 | 2.6 |
| 24.0% | 12.1 | 7.7 | 12.0 | 4.4 | 3.4 |
| 25.0% | 12.6 | 8.0 | 12.2 | 4.4 | 4.1 |

The values in Table 3 indicate a linear trend of costs, revenues and profit associated to increasing rate of HP. In accordance to the productive and economic scene simulated the HP is profitable when it reaches a rate of 20%. The results of economic analysis of STAY are shown in Table 4.

Table 4 – Results economic analysis of STAY in accordance to different heifer prices

| DR | FR | Heifer cost (US\$ 250.0) | | | | |
|-------|-------|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| DK | | PMC (US\$) | PFC (US\$) | PDD (US\$) | PRH (US\$) | PPS (US\$) |
| 20.0% | 80.0% | 168.3 | 156.3 | -72.0 | -26.1 | 226.6 |
| 19.0% | 81.0% | 170.4 | 158.3 | -68.4 | -30.3 | 230.0 |
| 18.0% | 82.0% | 172.5 | 160.2 | -64.8 | -34.5 | 233.5 |
| DR | FR | Heifer cost (US\$ 400.0) | | | | |
| | | PMC (US\$) | PFC (US\$) | PDD (US\$) | PRH (US\$) | PPS (US\$) |
| 20.0% | 80.0% | 168.3 | 156.3 | -102.0 | -26.1 | 196.6 |
| 19.0% | 81.0% | 170.4 | 158.3 | -96.9 | -30.3 | 201.5 |
| 18.0% | 82.0% | 172.5 | 160.2 | -91.8 | -34.5 | 206.5 |
| DD | ED | Heifer cost (US\$ 500.0) | | | | |
| DR | FR | PMC (US\$) | PFC (US\$) | PDD (US\$) | PRH (US\$) | PPS (US\$) |
| 20.0% | 80.0% | 168.3 | 156.3 | -122.0 | -26.1 | 176.6 |
| 19.0% | 81.0% | 170.0 | 158.3 | -115.9 | -30.3 | 182.5 |
| 18.0% | 82.0% | 172.5 | 160.2 | -109.8 | -34.5 | 188.5 |

As shown in Table 4 as the market price of the replacement heifer increases lower is the profit of production system (PPS). However, the economic importance of STAY is higher as the heifer market price increases. It means that a high price female should remain longer in the herd in order to pay back the investment compared to a low cost female for replacement.

The economic value for HP was US\$ 0.70 per heifer exposed, while for STAY the importance varied from US\$ 3.5 to US\$ 6.0, depending on the replacement heifer cost. It implies that in economic terms STAY is 5.0 to 8.5 times more important than HP. Charteris (1999) found a relation close to 4.0 between these both traits.

Conclusion

Both reproductive traits, HP and STAY are economically relevant and might be incorporated to the selection routine as means to improve the efficiency of production(s) systems in Brazil.

References

Charteris. P.L. (1999). Journal Anim. Sci. 77(1):101

Short. R.E. (1990). Proc. Annual Florida Beef Cattle Short Course. (39)